UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/517,027	07/21/2005	Goran Sjoberg	ABE-37256	2855
PEARNE & GO	7590 01/22/200 ORDON LLP	EXAMINER		
1801 EAST 9T	-	GRANT, ALVIN J		
	SUITE 1200 CLEVELAND, OH 44114-3108			PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/517,027	SJOBERG, GORAN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ALVIN J. GRANT	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Jules</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examines 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corrections.	r election requirement. r. epted or b)⊡ objected to by the B drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/7/04.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informality:

In line 2, change "(3a0" to read, "(3)".

2. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. **Claims 1-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. Regarding claims 1-10, the phrase "characterized in that" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3723

7. **Claims 1-4, 8 and 9** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wang 5,933,900.

Wang discloses a surface treating apparatus comprising: a shaft (114) with a handle by means of which the apparatus can be guided on the surface to be cleaned and a frame structure (22) having several rolls (80,78,84,76,82) about which an endless conveyor belt (28) for liquid and dirt particles is arranged so that a portion of the belt between the rolls (82,80) abuts the surface and is placed such that it is mainly parallel to the surface the apparatus being provided with a scratching means (78,79) abutting the conveyor belt (28) and removing liquid and dirt particles from the belt; the frame structure comprises a mainly flat wall portion (at 30) that the conveyor belt (28) abuts and that is mainly parallel to the surface; the frame structure is box shaped and encloses an electric motor with a drive shaft that by means of a gear transmits the driving motion of the drive shaft to at least one of the rolls (col. 7, lines 24-45); the frame structure supports a removable container part (98) in which liquid and dirt particles are collected; the frame structure comprises at least two parts (80,82) that are turnable with respect to one another and that when the parts are aligned with one another constitute a track for the conveyor belt (28) and which when the parts are angled with respect to one another admits that the conveyor belt is removed from the track; the conveyor belt comprises a support layer with an outer micro fiber layer (col. 6, lines 4-14).

Art Unit: 3723

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 5-6 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Lynn 5,203,047.

Wang is described above. **Referring to claims 5 and 6**, Wang does not specifically disclose a pump. Lynn discloses a cleaning apparatus having a pump so as to pump the cleaning fluid through the supply tube. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made Wang's apparatus to have a pump as taught by Lynn so as to pump the cleaning fluid through the supply tube.

Referring to claim 10, Wang does not specially disclose a nozzle. Lynn discloses the use of a nozzle so as to spray the cleaning fluid to the surface to be cleaned. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made Wang's apparatus to have a nozzle as taught by Lynn so as to spray the cleaning fluid to the surface to be cleaned.

10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wang in view of Lynn and in further view of Chupin et al. 4,918,778.

Wang as modified is described above. The modified Wang does not specifically disclose a filter. Chupin et al. discloses a rotary band cleaning device for cleaning a

Art Unit: 3723

conveyor belt the device having a filter so as to remove sediments from the cleaning fluid prior to the reuse thereof. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have installed a filter in the modified Wang's apparatus as taught by Chupin et al. so as to remove sediments from the cleaning fluid prior to the reuse thereof.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ALVIN J. GRANT whose telephone number is (571)272-4484. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail can be reached on (571) 272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3723

/Alvin J Grant/ Examiner, Art Unit 3723